

Instructions for Authors

Metascience considers published academic books for review in the following areas:

- Philosophy of Science
- History of Science
- Philosophy
- Social Studies of Science
- History and Philosophy of Technology

Metascience reviews are published by invitation. Unsolicited manuscripts will *not* be considered. However, the editors are happy to consider proposals for book reviews and especially for re-appraisals of classic books in all areas of interest of the journal.

All relevant correspondences should be addressed to the editor, Gregory Radick at g.m.radick@leeds.ac.uk

Please follow the formatting guidelines when preparing your submission:

- All manuscripts should be written in English.
- Reviews should be sent in Word format only.
- Please use Chicago Author-Date citation style where necessary.
- When quoting a passage from the book under review, please use double inverted commas, followed by page number(s) in brackets.
- At the top of the first page, please provide:
 - A brief title of the review – ideally something that will attract reader interest.
 - Book author name, full title, place(s) of publication, publisher, year of publication, number of pages, type of copy (hardback, paperback, e-copy), price.
 - Your name, full affiliation, contact details (e-mail address).

Referencing Guidelines:

The reference list should only include works that are cited in the review text and have been published or accepted for publication. Personal communications and unpublished works should only be mentioned in the text. Journal names and book titles should be italicized. Only the first letter of the first word of titles should be capitalized, aside from proper nouns. Reference list entries should be alphabetized by author last name. First names should be given by initial(s) only.

Referencing examples:

Journal article:

Albert, J., C. Daniel, and S. Kowaal. 2002. Personal perspectives in TV interviews. *Pragmatics* 12 (1): 257–271.

Article by DOI:

Suleiman, C., D. O’Connell, and S. Kowaal. 2002. ‘If you and I, if we, in this later day, lose that sacred fire’: perspective in political interviews. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*. doi: 10.1023/A:1015592129296.

Book

Cameron, D. 1985. *Feminism and linguistic theory*. New York: St. Martin’s Press.

Book chapter

Cameron, D. 1997. Theoretical debates in feminist linguistics: questions of sex and gender. In *Gender and discourse*, edited by Ruth Wodak, 99–119. London: Sage Publications.

Online document

Firsch, M. 2007. Does low-entropy constraint prevent us from influencing the past? *Philsci archive*. <http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu/archive/00003390>. Accessed 26 June 2007.

When in doubt, please contact the editor: Gregory Radick – g.m.radick@leeds.ac.uk

